IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
Criminal Application Nos.745, 746, 747, 1096, 1470,
1845, and 2083 all of 2007

Goldie P. Sud — Applicant
Vs.
State of Maharashtra — Respondent

Ms.Veena Thadhani with Mr.Vishal Thadhani for applicant in all the applications.

Ms.S.V.Gajre, APP for State in Application Nos. 745, 746, 747 & 1470 of 2007.

Mr.P.A.Pol, APP for State in Application No.1096/07.

Mr.S.R.Shinde, APP for State in Application No.1845/07.

Mr.D.P.Adsule, APP for State in Application No.2083/07.

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE, J.
October 15, 2007.

P.C.

. Heard Ms. Thadhani, the learned counsel for the applicant who has moved these seven applications praying for quashing the proceedings in seven different criminal cases which are presently pending before the Court of the learned Metropolitan Magistrate at Bandra.

. As per the instructions the learned counsel has, in all the cases the police on their investigation have filed reports under Section 173 of

Cr.P.C. and in none of the cases the trial Court has framed charges as yet as required under Section 240 of Cr.P.C. Hence the applicant has an alternative and efficacious remedy of filing an application under Section 239 of Cr.P.C. for being discharged on the ground that the police reports as submitted do not make out a case for trial.

. Hence there is no case to entertain these applications at this stage and more particularly when the applicant has an alternative and equally efficacious remedy before the trial Court. The applications are, therefore, rejected with liberty to the applicant to approach the trial Court with applications under Section 239 of Cr.P.C. and if such applications are moved within a period of four weeks from today, it is directed that the said applications shall be heard and decided on their own merits and as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of three months of their presentation.

(B.H.MARL